

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,537	11/20/20	003	Michael Jackson Hosey	50065.00011	3450	
75	90 0	08/15/2006		EXAMINER		
Stuart Whittin		HINZE, LEO T				
7037 E. Monte Circle Mesa, AZ 85208			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2854			
				DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,537	HOSEY, MICHAEL JACKSON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leo T. Hinze	2854		

	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leo T. Hinze	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the control of the shortened standard in the control of t	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 ∑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 July 2006. A brief 	in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 n	nust be filed within tw	o months of the
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacement	ly must be filed within the time peri	od set forth in 37 CFI	R 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	•	IE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	aducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	sadding or annipinging	, (110 133003 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / monamen	. (1 102 02 1).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		vill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	white Delett of appendix.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CER 1.116(a)			
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th	e date of filing a brief	f will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the arguments are not persuasive.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		
13.		Renya	m
		RENYA	N

PRIMARY EXAMINER